From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

FURMAN, Cory, J. Furman & Kallio 1400 - 2002 Victoria Avenue Regina, Saskatchewan S4P OR7 CANADA

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

DOCKETING Furman & Kallic

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Dkt:

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

09/11/2004

Scan Acc:

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PCT/CA03/01453

1320-02-03

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

23/09/2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

23/09/2002

Applicant

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF CANADA et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the 2.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

PECENED

NOV 15 2004 Patentamy

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465 Authorized officer

MORENO R A

Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828

Furman & Office europee

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (August 2002) P20473



PATENT COOPERATION TREA

2 3 MAR 2005

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference | 1 resident of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001) |
|--|--|
| 1320-02-03 International application No. | FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA |
| 1 | International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) |
| PCT/CA03/01453 | 23/09/2003 |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or | national classification and IPC |
| | C12P19/60 |
| Applicant | 17/00 |
| HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN | RIGHT OF CANADA of al |
| | or critical et al. |
| This international preliminary exami Authority and is transmitted to the a | ination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining applicant according to Article 36. |
| | of 2 sheets, including this cover sheet. |
| This report is also and | AA |
| been amended and are the basis (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 | the by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). |
| These annexes consists of a total of | |
| This report contains indications relating | |
| IX Basis of the report | • |
| II Priority | |
| | |
| Commission of the commission o | ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| IV Lack of unity of invention | |
| | , |
| citations and explanations su | Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; |
| VI Certain documents cited | |
| VII Certain defects in the interna | trional analysis |
| | |
| VIII Certain observations on the i | nternational application |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| e of submission of the demand | |
| F /0/ /000 : | Date of completion of this report |
| 5/04/2004 | 04/11/2004 |
| e and mailing address of the IPEA; | 04/11/2004 Authorized officer |
| and mailing address of the IDEA: | Authorized officer |
| | |
| Suropean Patent Office | I WEAVED M D |
| European Paient Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+ 49-89) 2399-0, Ty: 533656 approximately | I WEAVED M D |
| European Patent Office D-80298 Munich | Tel. (+49.89) 2399 2829 |



International application No.

PCT/CA03/01453

Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The question of whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step, or to be industrially applicable has not been the subject of the international preliminary examination in respect of the claims which have not been searched (Article 17(2)(a) or (3) and Rule 66.1(e) PCT); see also international search report).

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

To the extent that the international preliminary examination has been carried out (see item III above), the following is pointed out:

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims, which have been the subject of an international search report, does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).